UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK FILED

JUL 0 1 2008)

LONG ISLAND OFFICE

AHUVA B. FOGELMAN on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated

Plaintiff,

-against-

PENNCRO ASSOCIATES, INC.

CV-08 2610

IRIZARRY, J.

Defendant.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Introduction

1. Plaintiff Ahuva B. Fogelman seeks redress for the illegal practices of Penncro Associates, Inc. concerning the collection of debts, in violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA").

Parties

- Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of New York who resides within this District. 2.
- Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by Section 1692(a)(3) of the FDCPA, in 3. that the alleged debt that defendant sought to collect from plaintiff is a consumer debt
- Upon information and belief, Penncro Associates, Inc. is a Pennsylvania corporation 4. with its principal place of business located in Southampton, Pennsylvania.
- Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by 5. consumers.

6. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 7. This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as the acts and transactions that give rise to this action occurred, in substantial part, in this district.

 Venue is also proper in this district since the defendant transacts business in this district and collection contacts were directed into this district.

Allegations Particular to Ahuva B. Fogelman

- 9. Upon information and belief, on a date better known by defendant, defendant began to attempt to collect an alleged consumer debt from the plaintiff.
- 10. On or about March 18, 2008 at about 1:13 p.m., one of defendant's collection representatives left a message for the plaintiff on her answering machine.
- 11. Said message states as follows: ""Hi! This message is for Ahuva Fogelman, my name is Betty. I'm calling from the Office of Penncro Associates. I received your file in my office today concerning a very important business matter that it needs to be addressed as soon as possible. My telephone number is 1 800 284-5232 my extension is 2678. It is important that you return this call. Again my telephone number 1-800-284-5432. My extension is 2678. Please return the call."
- 12. Plaintiff has the message recorded.
- 13. Betty left another message for the plaintiff on April 12, 2008 at about 9:32 a.m.

- 14. Said message stated as follows: "Hi, this message is for Ahuva Fogelman. My name is Betty and I'm calling from the offices of Penncro Associates. My telephone number is 1-800-284-5232. My extension is 2678. It is important that you return this call."
- 15. Plaintiff has the message recorded.
- 16. On or about April 16, 2008 at about 6:40 p.m., one of defendant's collection representatives left a message for the plaintiff on her answering machine.
- 17. Said message stated as follows: "Ahuva Fogelman. This is Ms. Blow with Penncro Associates. You need to return my call as soon as possible. My number is 800-284-5232. My extension is 2602."
- 18. Plaintiff has the message recorded.
- 19. Said messages are in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e(10) and 1692e(11) for failing to indicate that the messages were from a debt collector which constitutes a deceptive practice.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act brought by plaintiff on behalf of herself and the members of a class, as against the defendant.

- 20. Plaintiff restates, realleges, and incorporates herein by reference, paragraphs 1-19 as if set forth fully in this cause of action.
- 21. This cause of action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and the members of a class.
- 22. The class consist of consumers who received a message from the defendant which did not set forth that the call was a communication from a debt collector.

- 23. The Class consists of all persons whom Defendant's records reflect resided in the New York State and who received a telephone message (a) from one of defendant's collection representatives failing to set forth that the message was from a debt collector similar to the messages for the plaintiff on March 18, April 12 and April 16, 2008 (b) the message was left concerning the seeking payment of an alleged debt; and (c) that the message contained violations of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e(10) and 1692e(11).
- 24. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, a class action is appropriate and preferable in this case because:
 - (A) Based on the fact that scripted or policy mandated telephone messages are at the heart of this litigation, the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.
 - (B) There are questions of law and fact common to the class and these questions predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members.
 - (C) The only individual issue is the identification of the consumers who received the letters, (i.e. the class members), a matter capable of ministerial determination from the records of defendant.
 - (D) The claims of the plaintiff are typical of those of the class members. All are based on the same facts and legal theories.
 - (E) The plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the class members' interests. The plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in bringing class actions and collection-abuse claims. The plaintiff's interests are consistent with those of the members of the class.
- 25. A class action is superior for the fair and efficient adjudication of the class members' claims. Congress specifically envisions class actions as a principal means of enforcing

the FDCPA. 15 U.S.C. 1692(k). The members of the class are generally unsophisticated individuals, whose rights will not be vindicated in the absence of a class action. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the classes would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications resulting in the establishment of inconsistent or varying standards for the parties and would not be in the interest of judicial economy.

- 26. If the facts are discovered to be appropriate, the plaintiff will seek to certify a class pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 27. Collection letters, such as those sent by the defendant are to be evaluated by the objective standard of the hypothetical "least sophisticated consumer."

Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

- 28. The defendant's actions as set forth above in the within complaint violates the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 29. Because the defendant violated of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the plaintiff and the members of the class are entitled to damages in accordance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in her favor and on behalf of the members of the class, and against the defendant and award damages as follows:

- (a) Statutory damages provided under the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. 1692(k);
- (b) Attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs incurred in bringing this action; and
- (c) Any other relief that this Court deems appropriate and just under the

circumstances.

Dated: Cedarhurst, New York June 25, 2008

Adam J. Fishbein, P.C. (AF-9508)

Attorney at Law 483 Chestnut Street

Cedarhurst, New York 11516

(516) 791-4400